

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,313	12/16/2003	Thomas A. Osborne	8627-454	5951	
7590 10/16/2006			EXAMINER		
John M. Card			REICHLE, KARIN M		
BRINKS HOFE	ER GILSON & LIONE			·	
P.O. Box 10395		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60610			3761		
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/200	DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./
CONTROL NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

10-737-313

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

20061009

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

see attached communication

Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/737,313	OSBORNE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karin M. Reichle	3761		

	Karin M. Reichle	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ers on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	ing replies: (1) an amendment, a ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply i	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Among event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the contraction of the co	sideration and/or search (see N v);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	orresponding number of finally r		ille issues ioi
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	See attached Notice of Non-C	•	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22 and 25.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a I).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:		Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner	hie

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments now recite limitations, e.g. a height and width dimension, and combinations of limitations, e.g. the limitation of claim 21 and the limitation of 25, not previously presented which would require further consideration and/or search. See also 4., infra.